UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS DALLAS DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	§	
	§	
V.	§	CRIMINAL CASE NO. 3:17-CR-576-B-1
	§	
RONALD LYNN GRANING,	§	
Defendant.	§	

ORDER ACCEPTING FINDINGS, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATION OF THE UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

The United States Magistrate Judge made findings, conclusions and a recommendation in this case. No objections were filed. The District Court reviewed the proposed findings, conclusions and recommendation for plain error. Finding none, the Court **ACCEPTS** the Findings, Conclusions and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Defendant's motion to reduce the restitution obligation is **DENIED**. Crim. Doc. 41.

The Court prospectively certifies that any appeal of this order would not be taken in good faith. See 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a) (3); FED. R. APP. P. 24(a) (3). In support of this finding, the Court adopts and incorporates by reference the magistrate judge's findings, conclusions and recommendation. See Baugh v. Taylor, 117 F.3d 197, 202 n.21 (5th Cir. 1997). Based on the findings, the Court finds that any appeal of this order would present no legal point of arguable merit and would, therefore, be frivolous. Howard v. King, 707 F.2d 215, 220 (5th Cir. 1983). In the event of an appeal, Defendant may challenge this certification by filing a separate motion to proceed in forma pauperis on appeal with the Clerk of the Court, U.S. Court of Appeals for the

Fifth Circuit. See Baugh, 117 F.3d at 202; FED. R. APP. P. 24(a) (5).1

SO ORDERED this 30th day of March, 2021.

JANÆ J. BOYL

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

¹Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 4(a) governs the time to appeal an order. A timely notice of appeal must be filed even if the district court certifies an appeal as not taken in good faith.